| · | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 2 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | |
| 3 | X | |
| 4 | COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING | |
| 5 | COMMISSION | : 18-CV-00361 (JBW) : |
| 6 | V. | : 225 Cadman Plaza East: Brooklyn, New York |
| 7 | McDONNELL, et al., | : : March 6, 2018 |
| 8 | Defenda | nt. : |
| 9 | | X |
| 10 | TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROANNE L. MANN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | APPEARANCES: | |
| 13 | | CENNETH B. TUMER, ESQ. |
| 14 | G | GATES SALYERS HURAND, ESQ. DAVID WILLIAM OAKLAND |
| 15 | | Commodity Futures Trading |
| 16 | | .40 Broadway, 19th Floor Jew York, New York 10005 |
| 17 | | ALEJANDRA DE URIOSTE |
| 18 | C | Commodity Futures Trading |
| 19 | Division Of Enforcement 140 Broadway, 19th Floor | |
| 20 | | New York, New York 10005 |
| 21 | | PATRICK K. McDONNELL, Pro Se 20 Rawson Place |
| 22 | S | Staten Island, New York 10315 |
| 23 | Court Transcriber: S | SHARI RIEMER, CET-805 |
| 24 | I | TypeWrite Word Processing Service |
| 25 | | Saratoga Springs, New York 12866 |
| | | |
| | Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service | |

```
2
    (Proceedings began 2:21 P.m.)
1
 2
              THE CLERK: Civil cause for status conference, CFTC
 3
   v. McDonnell, docket number 18-CV-361. Parties, please state
   your appearances for the record.
 4
              MR. TOMER: Brent Tomer from the Commodity Futures
 5
    Trading Commission for the plaintiff.
 6
 7
              MR. HURAND: Gates Hurand for the plaintiff.
 8
              MR. OAKLAND: David Oakland for the plaintiff.
              MS. De URIOSTE: Alejandra de Urioste for the
 9
   plaintiff.
10
11
              MS. SULTAN: Manal Sultan for the plaintiff.
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
12
13
              MR. MCDONNELL: Hi, I'm Patrick McDonnell,
    Defendant, Pro se.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. Welcome to all of you.
    Please be seated. And I encourage you to remain seated during
16
17
    the proceeding so you'll be closer to the microphones.
18
              This is an unscheduled proceeding following a
19
    hearing before Judge Weinstein. What is it that you would
20
    like me to do today?
21
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Your Honor.
22
    Weinstein sent us down here to help work out a expedited
23
    discovery schedule and deadlines in advance of a June 5th
24
    hearing. At which time the Judge will consider both
25
    preliminary and permanent injunctive relief for the
```

3 commission. 1 2 Judge Weinstein, also this morning, entered an order 3 granting expedited discovery of the defendant. We have a list of things that we have requested that we want -- would like to 4 request of the defendant relating to his business. And we 5 think it would probably make sense to just put that on the 6 7 record today and resolve any disputes with respect to that 8 which may arise. Finally, Judge Weinstein ordered that we do -- enter 9 10 into mediation as well. You know, speaking from the plaintiff's perspective, we're happy to do so. The prospects 11 of that at this point I think, given Mr. McDonnell's 12 13 statements upstairs are unlikely, but we're happy to enter it 14 with an open mind. We would propose to do that at an earlier 15 date given the expedited discovery schedule we're going to be moving pretty quickly toward what will be a full blown 16 17 hearing. 18 THE COURT: What date is the hearing? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: June 5th, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: So there are -- you want to make a list 21 of discovery matters that you're seeking from the defendant? 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's correct, Your Honor. 23 We have a -- we have a list here that we've prepared. 24 THE COURT: Have you served any discovery demands on 25 him?

4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not as of yet. 1 2 THE COURT: And you haven't talked with him about --3 you indicate that there are disputes that you want resolved. Have you attempted to resolve them with Mr. McDonnell? 4 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: With respect to the actual 6 discovery requests, we've not spoken one-on-one with Mr. 7 McDonnell upstairs. We listed some of the things that we 8 want. We did receive some dispute in response. Given that the Court has ordered ten days for this discovery to proceed 9 10 before we begin full blown discovery on a 90-day schedule, 11 we'd ask that the Court hear today any disputes that may arise with respect to that listing of discovery requests. Which is 12 13 a fairly short list for the expedited relief that was granted. 14 THE COURT: All right. I don't know that I'm going 15 to be able to resolve discovery disputes today when I'm hearing them for the first time. And I haven't even reviewed 16 17 the entire record. But why don't you tell me what it is 18 you're seeking. 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 going to pass the mic here to Mr. Hurand, my colleague. 21 MR. HURAND: Thank you, Your Honor. A list of 22 things that we would seek to be ordered by the Court for the 23 production on the ten day schedule that the Court outlined 24 upstairs. The first thing would be all virtual currency 25 accounts. Either in the defendant's names, that's Mr.

```
5
   McDonnell or CabbageTech Corp. or under their control.
1
 2
              THE COURT: When you say you want all virtual
 3
    currency accounts, am I -- you're talking about an
    identification of them?
 4
              MR. HURAND: Yes, Your Honor. The location of those
 5
    accounts, the location of the wallets, either public or
 6
 7
   private. The addresses of those wallets, and accounts to the
 8
    extent that they exist on exchanges or wallet services.
                          I'm sorry. And the last thing you want
 9
              THE COURT:
    is what?
10
11
              MR. HURAND: Account information to the extent that
    a third-party provider has that wallet account service that's
12
13
    being provided to the defendants, either in their name or
    under their control.
14
15
              The second thing -- or second category would be all
    U.S. and all foreign bank accounts held by in the name of the
16
    defendants or under their control.
17
18
              And the third thing would be all PayPal records
    relating to the defendants. And the fourth category would be
19
    a list of all customer names, deposits and time periods of
20
21
    dealings with the defendants.
22
              THE COURT: And when Judge Weinstein ordered
23
    expedited discovery in the next ten days, did he define or
24
    explain what discovery would be encompassed within the
25
    expedited discovery?
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The request for expedited discovery were books and records of the defendant's business, CabbageTech and Coin Drop Markets. We think that these requests are all encompassed within that. But are specifically tailored to ensure that the defendant knows exactly what we're seeking. THE COURT: All right. Mr. McDonnell? MR. McDONNELL: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Do you want to respond? MR. McDONNELL: I was -- I entered into complete exploratory resolution with the plaintiffs. We had -- both parties had agreed to enter into communication where we would try to resolve this prior to getting to this point. asked for this same information by the plaintiffs and I'm finding it very hard to believe that with three witnesses and approximately a couple hundred customers that are satisfied with my company, that they're requesting information -- for a defendant in a case like this, it's very hard to be sitting across the table from somebody that's asking you for your customers when they're saying that I ran away with all my company's money and all my customer's money. So for me I object everything that they have said, especially in reference to discovery because I came open forth in terms of trying to resolve this with them. And when I did resolve it with them, they told me that they had no victims

```
7
    and they had none of my customer records and that David
1
 2
    William Oakland, the attorney right here, he stated that the
    CFTC can charge me up to $170,000 per customer that I have.
 3
              Now in the resolution, you know, things that we had
 4
    with them, they -- you know, I look at it like this.
 5
   know, you have three customers over a couple hundred
 6
 7
    customers. And the three particular customers actually happen
 8
    to be ex promoters of my company. There's not one customer
    complaint. And therefore, I think the information that
 9
10
    they're requesting should already be in their hands to bring a
11
    case like this. Because if they don't have that type of
12
    information, where are all these allegations coming from?
13
              So I really object to anything that they say because
14
    I've -- I haven't done nothing wrong in relation to this case
15
    and according to my motions -- I don't know if you've read
    them -- this is a total --
16
17
              THE COURT: I have not -- I was not --
18
              MR. McDONNELL: It's a fabricated case, Your Honor.
    And if you look at the facts, you'll clearly see it.
19
              THE COURT: Well there was a motion to dismiss that
20
21
    was denied by the district court judge.
22
              MR. McDONNELL:
                              Yes.
23
              THE COURT: And although you talk about exploratory
24
    resolution, the case has not been resolved. So the case is
25
    proceeding.
```

```
8
              MR. McDONNELL: Yes.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: It's going forward. Judge Weinstein has
 3
    ordered -- I'm told, and I haven't heard you dispute that --
    that he's given you ten days to produce the books and records.
 4
              MR. McDONNELL: Yes, he has.
 5
              THE COURT: And now the issue is what -- among the
 6
 7
   books and records you should be produced within ten days, it's
 8
   no answer to say that this is a boqus case. We're beyond that
           That's been ruled on. It's going to go forward. And
 9
   point.
10
    the only question is the specifics --
11
              MR. McDONNELL: Okay.
12
              THE COURT: -- that you have to produce. So other
13
    than the fact that you tried to resolve the case and you
    believe there are not real victims, do you have any other
14
15
    objections?
              MR. McDONNELL: I object to the full request for the
16
    information.
17
18
              THE COURT: On the grounds that you've already --
              MR. McDONNELL: On the --
19
20
              THE COURT: -- stated?
21
              MR. McDONNELL: -- on the grounds that I believe
22
    that in order to bring a complaint or a case against any
23
    defendant you should have this information. Because they're
24
    looking to take this information to turn satisfied customers
25
    into victims that they can get $170,000 per customer if the
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
9
wording of this case isn't put together correctly. And that's
the problem that I've had, is the wording is the problem.
was 100 percent willing -- I would bring my books in here
right now for you to inspect them, but I'm very, very --
          THE COURT: I'm not interested in --
          MR. McDONNELL:
                          Yeah.
          THE COURT: -- inspecting them.
          MR. McDONNELL: I'm very -- I have problems with
handing information over to plaintiffs that told me I could
pay $170,000 per victim when they're satisfied customers.
That's the objection that I have.
          I have no problem handing them over the information
to the three witnesses on the case that proclaim that I
created a fraud. But I do not think that I -- there's also
privacy things that in my disclaimer on my website where I'm
not allowed to issue this information unless, you know, I have
a subpoena or something of that nature from a court. And the
thing I'm saying is there's privacy factors for the customers
that are satisfied. This will probably turn them into
unsatisfied customers if the CFTC calls when they are still
satisfied. I'm still in contact with these people. We're
friends outside of business and they only have three
witnesses.
          THE COURT:
                     All right. You've articulated a concern
about the privacy interests of your customers. I assume the
```

10 CFTC would be prepared to enter into a confidentiality 1 2 stipulation to be so ordered by the court. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Absolutely, Your Honor. we indicated as such upstairs as well. 4 MR. McDONNELL: May I -- may I put a request in that 5 6 if they have any request for customers or any type of 7 information that I think that they should come bearing a name 8 for this particular customer. Because there's no accusations from these customers because they're satisfied. 9 10 I think therefore, in terms of the information that 11 they're requesting should be based on people they have complaining. Not customers that aren't. I mean, if I went to 12 13 Macy's and if 3 people returned stuff and 100 people didn't, and they were satisfied, we wouldn't go talk to the people 14 15 that were satisfied. So I think that this should be cut down to the three witness' information on this case. Not all the 16 customers. Because they're all satisfied. 17 18 What I will do is bring in over 100 and something 19 satisfied testimonials from my customers to prove that they're satisfied. But I have a problem handing it to these people 20 21 with the wording that they had said to me through the 22 exploratory hearings. And I ask of the Court to allow them to 23 have the information request on the three witnesses in the 24 case. Not the majority of the satisfied customers. 25 numbers don't work out, a couple hundred versus three.

```
11
              I had a 99 percent customer service satisfaction
1
 2
    ratio. And they want to contaminate my customers and turn
 3
    them from satisfied customers to victims. Because this is
    more than just -- this has nothing to do with the three
 4
    witnesses. This has to do with the CFTC making 170,000 per
 5
    customer. If you look at the news, their fiscal year was down
 6
 7
    last year.
 8
              THE COURT: I think we're -- I think we're going far
    afield from --
9
10
              MR. McDONNELL: Yeah, but --
11
              THE COURT: -- the issue now. We're not -- we're
    not getting into the merits. We're getting into discovery.
12
13
              MR. McDONNELL: Okay. In short I'll say this and
    I'll stop. In short I think that they should only have access
14
    to the three witnesses, not the satisfied customers.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Would -- would either Mr.
16
17
    Tomer or Mr. Hurand like to respond?
18
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, respectfully the
    customers for which he used go to the heart of this matter
19
    which is based in fraud on customers of his business Coin Drop
20
21
    Markets. For him to withhold information of, you know, his
22
    customer list would clearly be outside the realm of relevance.
23
    And additionally --
24
              MR. McDONNELL: I objection.
25
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- additionally the nature of
```

12 the [inaudible] chain itself makes it very difficult to 1 2 identify a customer based on financial records alone. Therefore this is the entire reason we brought the injunction 3 and was granted preliminary injunction upstairs. 4 MR. McDONNELL: Your Honor, may I address the Court? 5 99 percent of the payments that came in through my company 6 7 were through PayPal. Not Bitcoin, Litecoin, or crypto 8 currency. This case has gone from a membership based business that provided services on an array of investments outside of 9 10 crypto currency. They're spotlighting one thing and without 11 getting into everything, again, I ask that the Court just grants them authority for the three witnesses not the 12 13 additional satisfied customers. It's unfair to the defendant and it's unfair to the customers themselves. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Anything else? 16 MR. McDONNELL: No, ma'am. 17 THE COURT: All right. The objections are overruled 18 but the production will be pursuant to a confidentiality 19 stipulation that should be signed by both sides and then will be submitted to the Court to be so ordered. 20 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 The next order of business then would be the 23 schedule. Judge Weinstein already ordered the initial 24 discovery with respect to the preliminary injunction for ten 25 days from now. We have set forth a schedule here that I'm

```
13
   happy to describe to the Court of how we would proceed leading
1
 2
    up to the June 5th hearing. Simultaneously the order of
   mediation should proceed somewhere along those lines as well.
 3
    We would propose, if you'd like to hear it now, I can go
 4
    through that list.
 5
              THE COURT: Or you have not discussed this with Mr.
 6
 7
   McDonnell?
 8
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Have not.
              THE COURT: Why don't you very quickly since there's
9
10
    less -- there's less urgency with this than with the
11
    production. But what are you proposing --
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
12
                                     Sure.
13
              THE COURT: -- for the schedule?
14
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So we would propose ten days
15
    from today would be the 16th of March. That would be the date
    upon which Mr. McDonnell would produce the expedited business
16
17
    records. On the 23rd, which is the Friday following, we would
18
    do initial disclosures. We'd have a May 18th cutoff for
19
    discovery. And a May 22nd identification of witnesses and
    evidence list.
20
21
              THE COURT:
                          I'm sorry. What date?
22
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: May 22nd. And then the
    hearing on the 5th.
23
24
              THE COURT: And why are the initial disclosures --
25
    why is the deadline for that on March 23rd as opposed to
```

```
14
    earlier? While I recognize that Mr. McDonnell, if he's
1
 2
    focusing on -- he may need until that time if he's producing
 3
    expedited business records by the 16th, why can't the CFTC
   provide its initial disclosures by the 16th?
 4
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We could provide initial
 5
    disclosures on the 16th. We would obviously amend those
 6
 7
    following the -- following the production from Mr. McDonnell
 8
    based on additional customers and information that's out
    there.
 9
10
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. McDonnell, do you want
11
    to respond?
12
              MR. McDONNELL: I'd like the same time that they
13
    have.
              THE COURT: You want -- their -- I'm ordering them
14
15
    to produce their initial disclosures before yours. You want
    me to shorten your time?
16
17
              MR. McDONNELL: I don't understand.
                                                   I'm sorry.
18
              THE COURT: Initial disclosures are disclosures that
19
    the parties have to produce to one another without a specific
    request. And these are set forth in Rule 26 of the Federal
20
21
    Rules of Civil Procedure. The CFTC has proposed a
22
    simultaneous deadline for both sides of March 23rd. I said
23
    that while I'm prepared to give you an additional week beyond
24
    the March 16th deadline for producing the expedited business
25
    records --
```

```
15
              MR. McDONNELL: Okay.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: -- that I -- I was going to direct the
 3
    CFTC --
              MR. McDONNELL:
 4
                             Okay.
              THE COURT: -- to produce their initial disclosures
 5
 6
    to you by the 16th. You want me to move up that deadline for
 7
    you as well?
 8
              MR. McDONNELL: No. I agree with everything you
9
    said.
10
              THE COURT: All right. So I'm prepared to adopt the
11
    schedule proposed by the CFTC with the one adjustment that
    I've just identified.
12
13
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And just for the -- to
    clarify, we would have the 16th initial disclosures from the
14
15
    CFTC as well as Mr. McDonnell's expedited business records?
              THE COURT: Right. And by the 23rd, if you're going
16
17
    to supplement or amend them based on the production of
18
    business records on the 16th, you should do that by the 23rd.
19
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, we'd reserve the
    right to move for additional time on that to the extent that
20
21
    the production from the defendant is not fulsome.
22
              THE COURT: Well then you reserve the right to
    further supplement or amend but by the 23rd you should
23
24
    supplement and amend based on the March 16th production.
25
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Understood, Your Honor.
```

```
16
              THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
 1
 2
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Judge Weinstein, Your Honor,
 3
    directed us to have mediation with the defendant. To be
    clear, we filed this case against both the defendant as well
 4
    as the entity that he controlled. The entity has defaulted in
 5
    this matter. So the mediation would proceed against the
 6
    individual defendant, Patrick McDonnell.
 7
 8
              THE COURT: And I assume that we're talking about
    Court-annexed mediation?
9
10
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry?
11
              THE COURT: Court-annexed mediation or private
    mediation?
12
13
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Court. Yes, Your Honor.
14
    With you, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: No, I'm talking about Court-annexed
    mediation. We have -- there's an ADR department within the
16
17
    Court.
18
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Understood, Your Honor.
    wasn't clear by Judge Weinstein's order whether he meant to
19
    ask us to do that or to proceed with mediation with you. It
20
21
    was unclear. We're happy to do either.
22
              THE COURT: Well what was the time -- what time
23
    frame were you considering?
24
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Merely earlier than later,
    essentially, so that discovery wouldn't proceed too far to the
25
```

```
17
    extent there is a chance of a successful resolution here.
1
 2
              THE COURT: Earlier is all relative. Are you
 3
    talking about April or are you talking about May?
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: April, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. If it's going to be before
 5
   May, we'll do it April 17th at 10:00. Is there anything else?
 6
 7
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, the defendant
 8
    invoked his Fifth Amendment privilege during the hearing and
    that was something Judge Weinstein wanted to make sure Your
9
10
    Honor was aware of going forward with this. And to the extent
    that we would seek the deposition of the defendant, that's
11
12
    something that may come up down the line.
13
              THE COURT: Well, Mr. McDonnell, you have the right
    to invoke your Fifth Amendment privilege but you should
14
15
    understand that in connection with a civil case in contrast to
    a criminal case, the invocation of the privilege does give
16
    rise to an adverse inference. Or can -- I should say can --
17
18
    may give rise to an adverse inference. The finder of fact is
    entitled to infer from the invocation of the privilege that
19
    the -- that had the witness responded to the question that the
20
21
    testimony would have been adverse to the invoking party.
22
    you should be aware of that. Can you -- let me ask you this.
23
    Can you afford to retain counsel?
24
              MR. McDONNELL: No, or I would. I'm honestly
25
    sitting here struggling today and Judge Weinstein helped me
```

18 with that issue. 1 2 THE COURT: He helped you with the issue of what? MR. McDONNELL: Well he just -- he explained it to 3 me in terms of, you know, do you wish, or wish not to invoke 4 5 your right and gave me a brush over of, you know, and I invoked it. 6 7 THE COURT: Well if in fact you truly cannot afford 8 to retain counsel, you know, I would -- I understand that he urged you to visit the Federal Pro Se --9 10 MR. McDONNELL: Yes. THE COURT: -- Litigation Clinic which is on the 11 first floor of this courthouse. They may not be able to see 12 13 you today, but you should go in and make an appointment. And 14 if you -- but they only provide limited representation. 15 don't provide full representation. You would be able to -assuming that they didn't have a conflict and they felt you 16 17 qualified financially for their assistance, they could help 18 you if you wanted for example in responding to discovery 19 demands and making discovery demands. But they wouldn't appear on your behalf in Court or at a deposition. 20 21 And given the nature of the allegations in this case 22 and the potential criminal exposure you would be well-advised 23 to try to find counsel either to try and retain counsel or 24 perhaps the Federal Pro Se Litigation Clinic can help you 25 secure Pro Bono counsel if you truly cannot afford to retain

19 counsel. 1 2 MR. McDONNELL: Thank you. He gave me a brochure 3 that gives me the information. THE COURT: All right. I would ask the CFTC to 4 submit a proposed order consistent with what the Court has 5 ruled today. How much time do you need to submit that? 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We should be able to do that 8 today, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And in terms of the -- in 9 10 terms of the confidentiality stipulation -- and you should 11 share these things with Mr. McDonnell. Do you have an email address for him? 12 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We do. Mr. McDonnell requested that we do everything through snail mail. 14 15 happy to provide it by email as well so that he has the stipulation and the full list and we can do this in a more 16 expedited manner. 17 18 THE COURT: Mr. McDonnell, I would encourage you to 19 register for ECF, electronic court filing. Although a Pro Se is not permitted to file over the ECF system --20 21 MR. McDONNELL: That's why I didn't. 22 THE COURT: -- to file electronically, you can 23 nevertheless register and receive electronic notices. So if 24 there's a Court order you will then receive it over your email 25 address. In addition, I would ask counsel for the CFTC if --

```
20
              You're not going to communicate with them by email,
 1
 2
    you haven't agreed to do that?
 3
              MR. McDONNELL: Well from this point on they can
    send the necessary documentation. That was -- I --
 4
              THE COURT: By email or by --
 5
              MR. McDONNELL: By email. They have my email,
 6
 7
    cdm@qmx.us.
 8
              THE COURT: And I would ask the CFTC since Mr.
   McDonnell apparently is agreeing to electronic service, to --
9
    if he wants to file something, if you would agree to enter it
10
    into ECF on his behalf, if he emails it to you in order to
11
12
    expedite the Courts getting any papers from him.
13
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We'd be happy to do so, Your
14
    Honor.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else?
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would just note that given
16
17
    that Judge Weinstein did deny the motion to dismiss today,
18
    that we'd request that the defense time to answer would be the
    same under the federal rules giving him till the same date as
19
    the expedited discovery is due to answer.
20
21
              THE COURT: Mr. McDonnell, is there any reason why
22
    you couldn't do that?
23
                              No, I will.
              MR. McDONNELL:
24
              THE COURT: All right. And again, I encourage you
25
    to try and secure counsel in this case.
```

```
21
1
              MR. McDONNELL: I will.
              THE COURT: Anything else?
2
3
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, Your Honor, from the
    CFTC.
4
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very much.
5
6
         (Proceedings concluded at 1:05 p.m.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a court transcript from $\,$ an electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Shari Riemer, CET-805 Dated: March 14, 2018